arXiv:1402.3333v8 [math.DS] 29 Apr 2015

FINDING EIGENVALUES THE RUPERT WAY

COLIN GRUDZIEN AND CHRISTOPHER K.R.T. JONES

Abstract. We develop a stability index for the travelling waves of non-linear reaction diffusion equations using the geometric phase induced on the Hopf bundle $S^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. This can be viewed as an alternative formulation of the winding number calculation of the Evans function, whose zeroes correspond to the eigenvalues of the linearization of reaction diffusion operators about the wave. The stability of a travelling wave can be determined by the existence of eigenvalues of positive real part for the linear operator. Our method for locating and counting eigenvalues is developed from the numerical results presented in Way's *Dynamics in the Hopf bundle, the geometric phase and implications for dynamical systems* [16]. We prove the relationship proposed by Way between the phase and eigenvalues for dynamical systems defined on $\mathbb{C}^2$, and with modifications, we extend the method to general dimensions, non-symmetric systems and to boundary value problems. Implementing the numerical method developed in [16], we conclude with open questions inspired from the results.

1. Introduction

Way in his PhD thesis [16], supervised by Bridges at the University of Surrey, developed numerical results supporting the hypothesis that parallel transport in the Hopf bundle could locate and measure the multiplicity of eigenvalues for linearizations of reaction-diffusion equations at travelling waves. A generic Hopf bundle is represented as $S^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, over the base space $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$, with fiber S^1. Therefore, any non-zero vector in the space $\mathbb{C}^n$ can be mapped to the Hopf bundle S^{2n-1} via spherical projection. This realization of the Hopf bundle as a subset of $\mathbb{C}^n$ allows one to consider an arbitrary dynamical system, and map non-zero solutions onto the Hopf bundle. The Hopf bundle is useful for studying the dynamics precisely because it has a generic realization in any phase space $\mathbb{C}^n$. By constructing our problem appropriately, we may develop a winding number through the parallel transport in the fiber of the Hopf bundle, S^1, induced by the dynamics in the phase space.

In particular, the eigenvalue problem for a reaction diffusion operator, linearized about a steady state, gives rise to a dynamical system on $\mathbb{C}^n$. For such linearizations, Way studied the winding in the fiber S^1 and its relationship to the eigenvalues of the operator. Projecting λ dependent special solutions in $\mathbb{C}^n$ onto S^{2n-1}, the dynamics on $\mathbb{C}^n$ induce parallel transport in the Hopf bundle. The winding in the fiber is denoted the geometric phase, related to the quantum geometric phase as discussed by Way [16], and Chruscinski and Jamiolkowski [6]. In this work we will show that special solutions will pick up information from the dynamics on $\mathbb{C}^n$, and the winding of loops of special solutions can describe the spectrum of the linear operator. Way's method is to be considered a new development of the Evans function, introduced in Section 2.1, that reformulates the eigenvalue calculation in a novel geometric setting.

Consider a system of non-linear reaction diffusion equations, generally of the form:

$$U_t = U_{xx} + f(U) \qquad U(x,0) = U_0(x) \in \mathbb{R}^m \tag{1.1}$$

where f is a non-linearity. The stability of travelling wave solutions for a system as above is determined by the existence of eigenvalues of positive real part for the linearized operator about the wave, as shown in Bates and Jones [4]. The value x is in $\mathbb{R}$, and we wish to consider a travelling wave solution, ie, a solution of the single variable $\xi = x - ct$, so $U(\xi)$ satisfies:

$$-cU' = U'' + f(U) \qquad (' = \tfrac{d}{d\xi})$$

Travelling waves and other steady states provide important qualitative understanding of the reaction diffusion equation by describing long time dynamics of the solutions to the PDE. Stable solutions in particular represent the most physically realistic solutions, being robust with respect to perturbations in the evolution.

The system in equation (1.1) is re-written in a moving frame as

$$U_t = U_{\xi\xi} + cU_\xi + f(U) \tag{1.2}$$

for which the travelling wave is a a time independent solution. Linearizing equation (1.2) about the wave $U(\xi)$, we obtain the ξ dependent operator $\mathcal{L}$ such that:

$$\mathcal{L}(p) = p_{\xi\xi} + cp_\xi + F(U(\xi))p \tag{1.3}$$

with $p \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^m)$, the bounded, uniformly continuous functions from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}^m$, and F the Jacobian of f.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open, simply connected domain that contains only discrete spectrum of $\mathcal{L}$. For $\lambda \in \Omega$, we consider the equation

$$(\mathcal{L} - \lambda I)(p) = 0$$

that has the equivalent formulation as the system

$$\begin{aligned} p' &= q \\ q' &= -cq + (\lambda - F(U))p \end{aligned}$$

Let I be the $m \times m$ identity matrix - we can write the above as the linear system,

$$Y' = A(\lambda, \xi)Y \qquad\qquad Y = \begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{2m}$$

$$A(\lambda, \xi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ \lambda - F(U) & -cI \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.4}$$

where A is a $2m \times 2m$, complex block matrix.

Remark 1.1. *For convenience of notation, we define $2m \equiv n$ so that the operator $\mathcal{L}$ defines the dynamical system (1.4) on $\mathbb{C}^n$.*

For matrix systems of the form described by (1.4) on $\mathbb{C}^n$, the Hopf bundle S^{2n-1} can be realized in the phase space of the system by spherical projection. As a property of linear systems, any non-zero solution will remain non-zero over finite integration scales and in this way the dynamics act naturally on the Hopf bundle. Loops of solutions in the phase space parameterized in the value λ will define parallel transport, which in the fiber S^1 yields the winding number. We will be concerned with special solutions that satisfy asymptotic conditions related to the reaction diffusion equation and its eigenfunctions. Provided that the space of solutions satisfying the asymptotic conditions is of dimension one, we use the Hopf bundle in $\mathbb{C}^n$ directly to measure the winding. Alternatively, if the space that satisfies the asymptotic conditions is of dimension greater than one, we consider the dynamics on the exterior product, and this phase space similarly has a suitable realization of the Hopf bundle to determine the winding for the full subspace.

Our work validates Way's original numerical method for linear operators that define dynamical systems on $\mathbb{C}^2$ - the full development of the method is in Section 2 and 3. For higher dimensional systems we have modified Way's method, using the exterior algebra and the determinant bundle construction as in Alexander, Gardner, Jones [2]. This modified version of Way's method is proven for general systems on unbounded domains in Section 4. We make further modifications to this method to calculate the winding of the Evans function for boundary value problems, and this is treated in Section 5. Finally, in Section 6 we present a numerical example to discuss the implications for future research.

2. The Evans function for Systems on Unbounded Domains

The Evans function has different formulations depending on the nature of the problem considered, as it describes if there is a match between the "terminal" conditions which determine eigenfunctions. What is meant by terminal conditions will differ in the formulation for boundary value problems and systems on unbounded domains. We will begin by considering systems on unbounded domains, as in Alexander, Gardner, Jones [2] which serves as the inspiration for the proof of Way's method. Garner and Jones [11], and subsequently Austin and Bridges [3], further developed the bundle construction for the Evans function in [2] and therefore the natural extension of Way's method to boundary value problems will follow in Section 5, using the general boundary value formulation as in [3].

2.1. **The Evans Function.** The matrix system (1.4) for the eigenvalue problem is non-autonomous with dependence on $U(\xi)$, but the travelling wave solution $U(\xi)$ must be bounded as $\xi \to \pm\infty$. We consider systems such that the travelling wave (1.2) satisfies the following hypothesis.

Hypothesis 2.1. *Define the limits of the wave* $\lim_{\xi\to\pm\infty} U(\xi) = U(\pm\infty)$. *We assume that there are positive* $a, C \in \mathbb{R}$ *for which*

$$| U(\xi) - U(+\infty) | \leq Ce^{-a\xi} \quad \textit{for} \quad \xi \geq 0 \tag{2.1}$$

$$| U(\xi) - U(-\infty) | \leq Ce^{a\xi} \quad \textit{for} \quad \xi \leq 0 \tag{2.2}$$

$$| U'(\xi) | \leq Ce^{a|\xi|} \leq 0 \quad \textit{for all } \xi. \tag{2.3}$$

Under this hypothesis, the asymptotic systems are autonomous and defined by the limiting values of the wave:

$$\begin{gathered} Y' = A_{\pm\infty}(\lambda)Y \\ A_{\pm\infty}(\lambda) := \lim_{\xi\to\pm\infty} A(\lambda,\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ \lambda - F(U(\pm\infty))) & -cI \end{pmatrix} \end{gathered} \tag{2.4}$$

Definition 2.2. *Let* $\mathcal{L}$ *be a linear operator, derived as in equation (1.3) from a non-linear reaction diffusion equation. Suppose the equation* $(\mathcal{L} - \lambda)p = 0$ *defines a flow on* $\mathbb{C}^n$ *for* $\lambda \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$*:*

$$\begin{aligned} Y' &= A(\lambda,\xi)Y \\ A_{\pm\infty}(\lambda) &:= \lim_{\xi\to\pm\infty} A(\lambda,\xi) \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Assume Ω *is open, simply connected and contains only discrete eigenvalues of* $\mathcal{L}$. *System (2.5) is said to* ***split*** *in* Ω *if* $A_{\pm}\infty$ *are hyperbolic and each have exactly* k *eigenvalues of positive real part (****unstable eigenvalues****) and* $n - k$ *eigenvalues of negative real part (****stable eigenvalues****), including multiplicity, for every* $\lambda \in \Omega$.

Hypothesis 2.3. *We always assume that the systems under consideration split in the domain* Ω.

The Evans function is a $\mathbb{C}$-analytic function whose zeros, including multiplicity, correspond to the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}$. Therefore, the strategy for determining stability of the wave with the Evans function is as follows:

- **Step 1:** Through analytic arguments, bound the possible eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}$ in the right half of the complex plane to some domain $D \subset \Omega$
- **Step 2:** Choose a contour $K \subset \Omega$ that encloses the domain D, but does not intersect the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}$.
- **Step 3:** Use the argument principle with the Evans function to count the zeros enclosed by K, and thus the multiplicity of the unstable eigenvalues.

Hypothesis 2.4. *We assume that the contour* K *is always a smooth, simple closed curve in* $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ *such that there is no spectrum of* $\mathcal{L}$ *in* K. *Let* K° *be the region enclosed by* K *- we assume* K° *is homeomorphic to the disk* $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ *and that* K *is parametrized by* $\lambda(s) : [0,1] \hookrightarrow K$ *with standard orientation.*

The Evans function was fist derived in a series of papers [8],[7],[9],[10] by Evans on nerve impulse equations,and was generalized by Alexander, Gardner and Jones [2] for general systems of reaction diffusion equations. The Evans function has been applied in many more situations and its development as well as the current state-of-the-art is well documented and explained in Kapitula and Promislow [13].

Our work in this paper is to reformulate the winding number calculation for the Evans function into a new geometric setting, as was suggested by Way [16]. The construction of the Evans function in Alexander, Gardner, Jones [2] utilizes a vector bundle construction to take advantage of the unique classification of complex vector bundles over spheres with their Chern class. We frame our discussion in this setting to establish the link between the Evans function, utilizing the Chern class, to the method proposed by Way.



FIGURE 1. Choose contour K that encloses the domain D. Note that 0 is always an eigenvalue because any translate of a travelling wave is also a travelling wave, ie: if the wave is given by $U(\xi)$ then $\mathcal{L}(U_\xi) \equiv 0$; therefore K cannot contain the origin.

2.2. **The Unstable Bundle.** Recall, the eigenfunctions for $\mathcal{L}$ as defined in equation (1.3) must be bounded. For the associated system of equations (2.4), the eigenvalues of $A_{\pm\infty}$ determine the asymptotic growth and decay rates of solutions. By a compactification of the ξ parameter we may define a dynamical system for $\{\xi \in [-\infty, +\infty]\}$ "capped" on the ends by these asymptotic, autonomous systems. The asymptotic systems have fixed points at 0, by linearity of the dynamics, and thus we define un/stable manifolds of the extended system. The un/stable eigenvectors of the system at $\pm\infty$ determine the asymptotic behaviour of solutions that lie in the un/stable manifolds of the critical points of the asymptotic systems.

Lemma 2.5. *Under the above hypotheses, 2.1 and 2.3, a solution to the extended system is an eigenfunction for $\mathcal{L}$ if and only if it is in the unstable manifold for $A_{-\infty}$ and the stable manifold of $A_{+\infty}$.*

Proof. This is shown in Alexander, Gardner and Jones [2]. □

Let us define the dependent variable τ that will compact the dynamics:

$$\xi =: \frac{1}{2\kappa} \log\left(\frac{1+\tau}{1-\tau}\right)$$

for some $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$. Appending the dependent variable yields the new system

$$Y' = A(\lambda, \tau)Y \qquad \tau' = \kappa(1-\tau^2) \tag{2.6}$$
$$' = \tfrac{d}{d\xi}$$

where the operator $A(\lambda, \tau)$ is defined by

$$A(\lambda, \tau) = \begin{cases} A(\lambda, \xi(\tau)) & \text{for } \tau \neq \pm 1 \\ A_{\pm\infty}(\lambda) & \text{for } \tau = \pm 1 \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.6. *We may choose $\kappa > 0$ such that the flow defined by equation (2.6) is C^1 on the entire compact interval.*

Proof. On finite time scales the flow (2.6) is smooth by linearity, but lemma (3.1) in Alexander, Gardner, Jones [2] shows if $\kappa < \frac{a}{2}$, where a is defined in hypothesis (2.1).

□

Hypothesis 2.7. *We will assume that for all systems $0 < \kappa < \frac{a}{2}$.*

Within the invariant planes $\{\tau = \pm 1\}$ of system (2.6), the dynamics are governed entirely by the linear, autonomous equations

$$Y' = A_{\pm\infty} Y \qquad ' = \tfrac{d}{d\xi}$$

$$\tau' \equiv 0 \qquad (\tau = \pm 1)$$

so that solutions in the planes are determined entirely by the stable and unstable directions of the asymptotic system. Solutions governed by the non-autonomous system, for $\{\tau \in (-1, +1)\}$, have limits in the invariant planes as $\xi \to \pm\infty$.

The advantage of framing the dynamics in the asymptotically capped system is that we may use invariant manifold theory for critical points. Specifically, consider the un/stable manifolds of the critical points

$$(0, \pm 1) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \{\tau = \pm 1\}$$

for the flow on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \{\tau \in [-1, +1]\}$. The dynamics in the invariant planes is linear with k unstable directions and $n-k$ stable directions; with the appended τ equation, the system gains one real unstable/ stable direction at $\tau = \mp 1$ respectively. Standard invariant manifold theory dictates that there is a $2k+1$ (real) dimensional local unstable manifold in some neighborhood of $(0, -1)$ that can be extended globally by taking its flow forward for all time. In the invariant plane $\tau = -1$, the unstable manifold is just the span of the unstable eigenvectors, but for $\tau > -1$, this becomes a τ dependent subspace of $\mathbb{C}^n$.

The goal is to construct a topological winding number that matches the multiplicity of the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}$ contained in the region bounded by K, and we want to describe the winding in terms of vector bundles on the sphere. From the curve K and the τ parameter, we will construct a "parameter sphere", above which we can view solutions to the system in equation (2.6) as paths in an appended trivial $\mathbb{C}^n$ bundle.

Definition 2.8. *The set $K \times \{\tau \in [-1, +1]\}$ defines a topological cylinder as K is topologically equivalent to S^1. Gluing K°, the region enclosed by K, to the cylinder with we obtain a topological 2-sphere,*

$$M \equiv K \times \{\tau \in [-1, +1]\} \cup K^\circ \times \{\tau = \pm 1\} \tag{2.7}$$

hereafter denoted the ***parameter sphere****.*



FIGURE 2. The parameter sphere as described by eq. (2.7) with the appended trivial bundle.

The trivial $\mathbb{C}^n$ bundle is thus defined $M \times \mathbb{C}^n$. Solutions to the system in equation (2.6) can be tracked in the fibers of the trivial bundle, with their evolution defined by the flow and the parameter values in M. It is in this space we want to construct the winding number that measures the multiplicity of the eigenvalues in K°.

Traversing the parameter sphere, as τ moves between ∓ 1, the ambient $\mathbb{C}^n$ bundle doesn't acquire any winding - instead we need to choose a subbundle that "picks up" information from the flow. Reasonable candidates are the bundles defined by un/stable manifolds of the critical points in the invariant planes. Alexander, Gardner, Jones [2] shows that for fixed $\lambda \in K$, the unstable manifold of the critical point $(0,-1) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times [-1,1]$ converges to the unstable space of $A_{+\infty}(\lambda)$ for $\tau = 1$ in Grassmann norm. We will foliate the unstable manifold over $\{\lambda \in K^\circ\} \times \{\tau = +1\}$ with fibers defined by the unstable subspace of $A_{+\infty}(\lambda)$.

Definition 2.9. *The unstable unstable manifold of the critical point $(0,-1) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times [-1,1]$, defines a subspace of $\mathbb{C}^n$ that approaches $(0,-1)$ exponentially decaying as $\xi \to -\infty$ for $\mid \xi \mid$ sufficiently large. For each fixed (λ,τ), $W^u(\lambda,\tau)$ will denote the **unstable manifold** in $\mathbb{C}^n$ defined by the flow at (λ,τ). The set*

$$E \equiv \{(\lambda,\tau,w) \in M \times W^u(\lambda,\tau)\} \tag{2.8}$$

*is a non-trivial vector bundle contained in the trivial, ambient vector bundle $M \times \mathbb{C}^n$ and E forms the **unstable bundle**.*

Lemma 2.10. *The unstable bundle defines a vector bundle over the sphere M with a unique Chern class equal to the number of eigenvalues for $\mathcal{L}$ in K° including multiplicity.*

Proof. For a proof and a full discussion of the unstable bundle the reader is referred to Alexander, Gardner, Jones [2]

□

2.3. **The Hopf Bundle and the Method of Way.** The Hopf bundle is a classical example of a principal fiber bundle, which has a realization in $\mathbb{C}^n$ - we will take advantage of this realization to re-frame the winding of the unstable bundle as geometric phase induced in the fibers.

Definition 2.11. *The Hopf bundle is a principal fiber bundle with full space S^{2n-1}, base space $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$, and fiber S^1. The fiber S^1 acts naturally on S^{2n-1} by scalar multiplication, and with respect to this action, the quotient is $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$. The spaces are related by the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^1 & \longrightarrow & S^{2n-1} \\ & & \downarrow \quad \pi \\ & & \mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \end{array} \tag{2.9}$$

where π is the quotient map induced by the group action.

Remark 2.12. *The standard coordinate atlas for the Hopf bundle $S^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is defined by the set of*

$$\mathcal{U}_j = \{(z_1, \cdots, z_j, \cdots, z_n) \in S^{2n-1} : z_j \neq 0\} \qquad j \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$$

These neighborhoods yield an open covering of S^{2n-1} which define an associated open covering for $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ by the projection map of the neighborhoods. The trivializations of the bundle are defined

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \psi_j : & \mathcal{U}_i & \to & V_j \times S^1 \\ & (z_1, \cdots, z_n) & \mapsto & ([z_1, \cdots, z_n], \frac{z_j}{\|z_j\|}) \\ \psi_j^{-1} : & V_j \times S^1 & \to & \mathcal{U}_j \\ & ([w_1, \cdots, w_n], z) & \mapsto & \frac{z\|w_j\|}{w_j}(w_1, \cdots, w_n) \end{array}$$

so that their composition gives a self diffeomorphism. A discussion of the standard covering is given by Walschap [15].

For a generic Hopf bundle, of dimension $2n-1$, there exists an intuitive choice of connection between fibers. We will use the realization of $S^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ by spherical projection to define the connection pointwise.

Definition 2.13. *For the Hopf bundle S^{2n-1}, viewed in coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^n$, we define the connection 1-form ω pointwise for $p \in S^{2n-1}$ as a mapping of the tangent space of the Hopf bundle $T_p(S^{2n-1}) \subset T_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$*

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \omega_p : & T_p(S^{2n-1}) & \to & i\mathbb{R} \cong \mathcal{G} \\ & V_p & \mapsto & \langle V_p, p \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \end{array} \tag{2.10}$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ is the Lie algebra of the fiber S^1 [16]. *Defining a connection 1-form defines a connection and we will denote ω to be the **natural connection** on the Hopf bundle.*

Lemma 2.14. *The natural connection is a connection of the generic Hopf bundle S^{2n-1} and it is the unique connection for S^3.*

Proof. This is proven by Way [16] in Section 3.5 and the reader is referred there for a full discussion.

□

A choice of connection decomposes the tangent space of the Hopf bundle into horizontal and vertical subspaces, which introduces the concept of parallel translation in the fibers.

Definition 2.15. *The **vertical** subspace is always canonically defined by the kernel of the push forward of the projection onto the base space. The **horizontal** subspace is isomorphic to the tangent space of the base space, but in general is not unique, and is defined by the choice of the connection. In particular, the horizontal subspace can be defined as the kernel of the connection 1-form.*

Remark 2.16. *The vertical and horizontal subspaces of the tangent space, by choice of a connection, give a smooth decomposition of the full tangent space*

$$T(S^{2n-1}) = H(S^{2n-1}) \oplus V(S^{2n-1})$$

over the base space S^{2n-1}, which is compatible with the trivializations of the bundle. For a full discussion of vertical and horizontal subspaces, and the theory of connections, the reader is referred to Kobayashi [14].

Given a path in the Hopf bundle, and a choice of connection, we may always choose a corresponding "horizontal lift", which will describe the displacement in the fiber.

Definition 2.17. *Let $v(t) : [0,1] \to S^{2n-1}$ be a path in the Hopf bundle. The **horizontal lift** of $v(t)$ is a path for which*

$$\begin{aligned} w(t) :&[0,1] \to S^{2n-1} \\ &\pi(w(t)) \equiv \pi(v(t)) \quad \forall t \\ &\omega(\frac{d}{dt}(w(t)) \equiv 0 \quad \forall t. \end{aligned}$$

ie: $\frac{d}{dt}w(t) \in H(S^{2n-1})$ for all t.

Remark 2.18. *A horizontal lift is the **unique** path that has the same projection onto the base manifold as the original path, but always has a tangent vector in the horizontal subspace of the tangent space* [14].

A path with tangent vector always in the horizontal subspace experiences no motion in the fiber - parallel translation is the displacement in the fibers between a given path and the horizontal lift of the path. The fiber of the Hopf bundle is the circle, so parallel translation induces a natural winding number through the displacement in the fiber.

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a coordinate patch for S^{2n-1} in an atlas for the Hopf bundle, and define $\pi(\mathcal{U}) \equiv U$. Given $v \in S^{2n-1}$, for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, let $v = e^{i\theta}w$. We write $v \in \mathcal{U}$ in the Hopf bundle locally as

$$(e^{i\theta}, [w]) \qquad [w] \in U \subset \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$$

and the vertical part of the tangent space is represented by $span\{\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\} \equiv i\mathbb{R}$. If $v(\lambda)$ is a path in S^{2n-1} parameterized by K, let $v(\lambda) = e^{i\theta(\lambda)}w(\lambda)$, where $w(\lambda)$ is horizontal. Equivalently $v(\lambda)e^{-i\theta(\lambda)} = w(\lambda)$, so $e^{-i\theta(\lambda)}$ is the path of corrections in the group S^1 that make $v(\lambda)$ a horizontal path. The ODE that describes the parallel translation is derived by Kobayashi [14], and Way [16] derives the equation on the Hopf bundle:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\tfrac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}e^{-i\theta(\lambda)})e^{i\theta(\lambda)} = \omega(v'(\lambda)) \\ \Leftrightarrow \qquad &-i\theta'(\lambda) = -\omega(v(\lambda)) \\ \Leftrightarrow \qquad &\theta'(\lambda) = -i\omega(v'(\lambda)) \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

This equation defines the correction in the fiber to make $v(\lambda)$ horizontal.



FIGURE 3. Parallel translation in the Hopf Bundle generates a winding number.

Definition 2.19. *We will denote θ to be the* ***phase*** *and $\theta(\lambda)$ to be the* ***phase curve*** *with respect to $v(\lambda)$.*

Pulling back the connection 1-form by some curve, equation (2.11), describes the integral of the connection as

$$\theta(\lambda(1)) - \theta(\lambda(0))$$

Moreover, $v(\lambda(0)) = w(\lambda(0))$ so $\theta(\lambda(0)) = 0$. The ODE in equation (2.11) becomes:

$$\theta'(\lambda) \quad = \quad -iv^H(\lambda)v(\lambda) \tag{2.12}$$

where the H represents the conjugate transpose.

In terms of a path $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^n$, parameterized by $u(\lambda)$, and not necessarily in S^{2n-1}, Way [16] shows the ODE for the phase of the projection of γ can be written as

$$-i\frac{Im(u^H u')}{(u^H u)} \tag{2.13}$$

Therefore, we may write the phase of the projection of γ onto S^{2n-1}, $\hat{\gamma}$, in terms of $u(\lambda)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\hat{\gamma}} \omega &= \int_{[0,1]} \hat{\gamma}^* \omega \\ &= \int_0^1 -i\frac{Im(\langle u'(s), u(s)\rangle)}{(\langle u(s), u(s)\rangle)} ds\end{aligned}$$

This means the the phase of $\hat{\gamma}$ is given by

$$\theta(1) = -\,i\int_0^1 \frac{Im(\langle u'(s), u(s)\rangle)}{(\langle u(s), u(s)\rangle)} ds \tag{2.14}$$

Definition 2.20. *The winding of the phase curve*

$$\frac{\theta(1)}{2\pi} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\hat{\gamma}} \omega \tag{2.15}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_0^1 \frac{Im(\langle u'(s), u(s)\rangle)}{(\langle u(s), u(s)\rangle)} ds \tag{2.16}$$

is denoted the ***geometric phase*** *of the path $\hat{\gamma} \subset S^{2n-1}$.*

For a complete derivation of the above equation the reader is referred to Section 3 in Way [16].

We develop Way's method first for an example where the dynamical system is defined on $\mathbb{C}^2$, where the low dimension will allow some geometric intuition. This geometric intuition is useful for the proof of the general technique, and much of the argument remains the same once we introduce determinant bundle for systems of larger dimension. A well studied example of a system on $\mathbb{C}^2$ with the assumptions above is the bi-stable system:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_t = u_{xx} + f(u) \qquad\qquad f(u) = u(u-a)(1-u)b \\
& -1 \leq a \leq \tfrac{1}{2} \qquad b \neq 0 \qquad\qquad u(x,0) = u_0(x)
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.17}
$$

Any non-zero solution to the system (2.17) on $\mathbb{C}^2$ will have a projection onto the Hopf bundle S^3 by normalization. Way proposed a numerical method to link the winding in the geometric phase induced by the projection of a solution in the $\mathbb{C}^2$ system to the multiplicity of eigenvalues for $\mathcal{L}$ - this method follows several steps.

The Method of Way

- **Step 1:** Choose a contour K in $\mathbb{C}$ that **does not** intersect the spectrum of the operator $\mathcal{L}$.
- **Step 2:** Varying $\lambda \in K$, choose eigenvectors $X^-(\lambda)$ for $A_{-\infty}(\lambda)$ that correspond to the eigenvalue of positive real part.



FIGURE 4. A loop of eigenvectors is defined by the contour.

- **Step 3:** Choose ξ_0 "close" to $-\infty$ and let $X^-(\lambda, \xi_0)$ define a loop of initial conditions.



FIGURE 5. Initialize the loop of eigenvectors in the phase space.

- **Step 4:** Integrate the loop of eigenvectors, following $Y' = A(\lambda, \xi)Y$, to ξ_1 "close" to $+\infty$.



FIGURE 6. Integrate the loop of eigenvectors forward in ξ.

- **Step 5:** Project the forward integrated loop $X^-(\lambda, \xi_1)$ onto the Hopf bundle $S^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ by normalizing the loop at every point, ie: $\hat{X}^-(\lambda, \xi_1) \equiv \frac{X^-(\lambda,\xi_1)}{\|X^-(\lambda,\xi_1)\|}$.



FIGURE 7. Project the forward integrated loop onto the sphere.

- **Step 6:** Measure the geometric phase of $\hat{X}^-(\lambda, \xi_1)$ in the Hopf bundle, according to the natural connection as in equation (2.15).

Way's numerics supported the hypothesis that the geometric phase of $\hat{X}^-$ should equal the multiplicity of the eigenvalues for $\mathcal{L}$ in K° when ξ_1 is taken sufficiently large [16]. In our study we reformulate this idea into the machinery of the determinant bundle; this is to take advantage of the existing computation of the multiplicity of eigenvalues for $\mathcal{L}$ through the Chern class, and to utilize the method in greater generality for cases where $n > 2$, and non-symmetric asymptotic systems.

The original numerical method used a single eigenvector corresponding to the strongest growing/decaying eigenvalue, which is sufficient when the dimension of either the unstable or stable subspace is of dimension 1, but in general the information of the full subspace is required. Our work was thus to prove the relationship between the geometric phase and eigenvalues, to validate the original numerical method in the case the unstable subspace is of dimension 1 and to adapt and validate the method for higher dimensions and more general boundary conditions. We return to the example (2.17) in Section 6 to demonstrate the technique as described in the steps above, and explore new questions inspired from the results.

3. The Two Dimensional Case

In this Section we will restrict our consideration of the unstable bundle construction to the case where the asymptotic system is symmetric, by which we mean

$$\lim_{\xi \to \pm\infty} A(\lambda, \xi) \equiv A_{\pm\infty}(\lambda) \equiv A_\infty(\lambda)$$

This restriction on the boundary conditions will allow us to flesh out the geometric intuition of the method, but the restriction is not necessary in general. We will adapt the method presented in Section 3 to the general construction of the unstable bundle for n dimensions, k unstable directions, and non-symmetric boundary conditions in Section 4.

3.1. **Set Up of the System on $\mathbb{C}^2$.** Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the linearization of a reaction diffusion equation about a steady state. From $(\mathcal{L}-\lambda)p=0$, where $\lambda\in\Omega\subset\mathbb{C}$, we derive the system on $\mathbb{C}^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} Y' &= A(\lambda,\tau)Y \qquad A_\infty(\lambda):=\lim_{\xi\to\pm\infty}A(\lambda,\tau)\\ \tau' &= \kappa(1-\tau^2)\\ A(\lambda,\tau) &= \begin{cases} A(\lambda,\xi(\tau)) & \text{for } \tau\neq\pm1\\ A_\infty(\lambda) & \text{for } \tau=\pm1 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where Ω is open and simply connected, and the system at infinity, A_∞, has one stable and one unstable complex direction in $\mathbb{C}^2$ for every $\lambda\in\Omega$. Let K be a smooth, simple closed curve in $\Omega\subset\mathbb{C}$ that contains no spectrum of $\mathcal{L}$, let the enclosed region be denoted K°, and let K be parameterized by $\lambda(s):[0,1]\hookrightarrow K$.

Let the eigenvalues of $A_\infty(\lambda)$ be given by $\mu_1(\lambda)$,$\mu_2(\lambda)$ such that

$$Re(\mu_1)<0<Re(\mu_2)$$

for each λ. The vector

$$X:=e^{-\mu_2(\lambda)\xi}Y$$

is in $W^u(\lambda,\tau)$ provided $Y\in W^u(\lambda,\tau)$, because $W^u(\lambda,\tau)$ is a subspace. Let $\frac{d}{d\xi}='$, then

$$\begin{aligned} X' =& -\mu_2(\lambda)e^{-\mu_2(\lambda)\xi}Y+e^{-\mu_2(\lambda)\xi}Y'\\ =&(A-\mu_2 I)X \end{aligned}$$

This motivates us considering the following system on $\mathbb{C}^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} X' &= BX \qquad B(\lambda,\tau):=(A(\lambda,\tau)-\mu_2(\lambda)I)\\ \tau' &= \kappa(1-\tau^2) \qquad B_\infty(\lambda):=\lim_{\xi\to\pm\infty}B(\lambda,\tau) \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

The ξ dependent rescaling transforms the A system in equation (3.1) into the B system in equation (3.2) where it will be more convenient to work with the trajectories in the unstable manifold.

Definition 3.1. *Solutions to the A system (3.1) will be denoted with a $\sharp$. That is, if $Z^\sharp\in W^u(\lambda,\tau_0)$, then there is a ξ_0 for which $Z\equiv e^{-\mu_2(\lambda)(\xi-\xi_0)}Z^\sharp$ is the unique solution to the B system (3.2) that agrees with $Z^\sharp$ at (λ,τ_0). Similarly if Z is a solution to the B system, then $Z^\sharp\equiv e^{\mu_2(\lambda)(\xi-\xi_0)}Z$ is the unique solution to the A system that agrees with Z at ξ_0.*

Let $X^-(\lambda)$ be an unstable eigenvector for $A_{-\infty}(\lambda)$. Then in the B system (3.2), if $Z\in span_{\mathbb{C}}\{X^-(\lambda)\}$, $(Z,\pm1)$ is a fixed point. By the construction of B_∞, in $\tau=-1$, there is exactly one complex stable direction, one complex center direction corresponding to the line of fixed points, and the real unstable τ direction. We may thus construct the center-unstable manifold of a non-zero path of eigenvectors $X^-(\lambda)$ that correspond to the zero eigenvalue in the $B(\lambda)$ system (3.2).

3.2. **The Induced Flow on S^3.** In order to measure the geometric phase of a solution which spans the unstable subspace $W^u(\lambda,\tau)$ we must project the solution onto S^3. On finite timescales, ie: $\tau\in(-1,1)$, this isn't an issue. A non-zero solution to equation (3.1) may be viewed in hyper-spherical coordinates

$$\{r\in(0,+\infty)\}\times S^3\times\{\tau\in(-1,1)\}$$

because no solution reaches zero in finite time. However, to measure the phase over the entire bundle we must appeal to solutions to the B system; Lemma 3.7 in Alexander, Gardner, Jones [2] tells us that a solution to equation (3.1) that is in W^u, is unbounded and converges to the unstable subspace of $(0,+1)$ in the Grassmann norm as $\xi\to+\infty$. Because the solutions of the system (3.1) in W^u approach 0 as $\xi\to-\infty$ and are unbounded as $\xi\to\infty$, we appeal to solutions of the B system instead.

Lemma 3.2. *There exists a choice of unstable eigenvectors for $A_{\pm\infty}(\lambda)$, $X^\pm(\lambda)$, analytic in λ for $\lambda\in\Omega$.*

Proof. For a constructive algorithm for such bases the reader is referred to Humpherys, Sandstede and Zumbrun [12].

□

Note that under spherical projection we may lose $\mathbb{C}$ differentiability, but we will retain the differentiability in s, where $\lambda(s) : [0,1] \hookrightarrow K$ and s is the path parameter.

Definition 3.3. *For $\lambda \in K$ **reference path**, $X^{\pm}(\lambda)$ at $\tau = \pm 1$ respectively, is a loop of eigenvectors for $A_{\pm\infty}(\lambda)$ that corresponds to the eigenvalue of **largest, positive, real part** for $A_{\pm\infty}$.*

Definition 3.4. *Let $X^{\pm}(\lambda)$ be a reference path chosen analytically in λ over K that can be extended smoothly over K° without zeros. $X^{\pm}(\lambda)$ is denoted **non-degenerate** as $X^{+}(\lambda)$ defines fibers compatible with the unstable bundle construction.*

Lemma 3.5. *Let $X^{-}(\lambda)$ be a non-degenerate reference path for $A_{-\infty}$. Let the center-unstable manifold of this line of critical points for the B system (3.2) be parameterized by (λ, τ) as $Z(\lambda, \tau)$. Then $Z(\lambda, \tau)$ is non-singular and continuous for $\xi \to +\infty$ and the fibers defined by this solution span the unstable manifold $W^u(\lambda, \tau)$ for all $(\lambda, \tau) \in K \times [-1, 1]$.*

Proof. As in Section 4 of Alexander, Gardner, Jones [2], the center-unstable manifold of the path $X^{-}(\lambda)$ in the B system can parameterized by (λ, τ)

$$Z(\lambda, \tau) \qquad Z(\lambda, -1) \equiv X^{-}(\lambda)$$

such that it is $\mathbb{C}$ differentiable in λ for $\tau \in [-1, 1)$ fixed.

The ξ dependent scaling of Z

$$Z^{\sharp}(\lambda, \tau) = e^{\mu_2(\lambda)\xi} Z(\lambda, \tau)$$

yields a solution to the A system which is necessarily in W^u, by the exponential decay condition as $\xi \to -\infty$. Therefore $Z(\lambda, \tau)$ spans $W^u(\lambda, \tau)$ for each $\tau \in [-1, +1)$. Lemma 6.1 in [2] tells us that the limit of $Z(\lambda, \tau)$ as $\xi \to \infty$ is non-zero and continuous in λ. This means that $Z(\lambda, \tau)$ spans the unstable bundle for $\tau \in [-1, 1]$, and has a non-singular projection on to S^3 for all τ.

□

Remark 3.6. *The above lemma 3.5 holds for non-symmetric boundary conditions provided the appropriate scaling is used. The case of non-symmetric boundary conditions will be treated in Section 4, and we will return to this point in Proposition 4.11.*

3.3. **The Induced Phase on the Hopf Bundle.** Let Z and $X^{-}(\lambda)$ be defined as in lemma 3.5, and $\hat{Z}$, $\hat{X}^{-}(\lambda)$ be their projections onto S^3. Given a choice of reference path $X^{+}(\lambda)$ for $A_{+\infty}(\lambda)$ and projection $\hat{X}^{+}(\lambda)$, $\hat{Z}$ defines a mapping to S^3 for which the following hold:

- $\hat{Z}(\lambda, \tau) \to \hat{X}^{-}(\lambda)$ as $\xi \to -\infty$
- $\hat{Z}(\lambda, \tau) \to \zeta(\lambda)\hat{X}^{+}(\lambda)$ as $\xi \to +\infty$ for some $\zeta(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}$
- $span_{\mathbb{C}}\{\hat{Z}(\lambda, \tau)\} \equiv W^u(\lambda, \tau)$

Definition 3.7. *Let $X^{\pm}(\lambda)$ be reference paths for $A_{\pm\infty}(\lambda)$ respectively. The **induced phase**, with respect to $X^{\pm}(\lambda)$, is the complex scalar such that*

$$\zeta(\lambda)\hat{X}^{+}(\lambda) \equiv \hat{Z}(\lambda, +1).$$

Remark 3.8. *Note that by our construction, both $\hat{Z}$ and $\hat{X}^{-}$ are unit vectors, ie: $\zeta(\lambda) \in S^1$. In the simple case where $A_{-\infty}(\lambda) \equiv A_{+\infty}(\lambda)$ we may also take $X^{+}(\lambda) = X^{-}(\lambda)$ so that the induced phase is exactly a measure of the winding which occurs as the unstable manifold traverses M. For non-symmetric systems, we will need to adapt the method, but the intuition remains the same.*

Firstly, we want to prove that as a function of s, ζ is differentiable. Having this condition, we will explore the connection between $\zeta(s)$, the choice of reference paths, the multiplicity of the eigenvalue in K° and the geometric phase.

Proposition 3.9. *Let $X^{\pm}(\lambda)$ be non-degenerate reference paths for $A_{\pm\infty}(\lambda)$ respectively. For each $\lambda \in K$, let us define $\zeta(\lambda)$ such that $\hat{Z}(\lambda, +1) = \zeta(\lambda)\hat{X}^{+}(\lambda)$. We claim that if $\lambda(s)$ is a smooth parametrization of K, then*

$$\zeta(\lambda(s)) : [0,1] \to S^1$$

is a differentiable function.

Proof. As in lemma 3.5 the limit $Z(\lambda, \tau) \to Z(\lambda, +1)$ is non-zero for each λ and $Z(\lambda, +1)$ is continuous. Moreover, lemma 3.7 in Alexander, Gardner, Jones [2] tells us the convergence of the manifold $Z(\lambda, \tau) \to Z(\lambda, +1)$ is locally uniform outside of the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}$ and thus uniform on K. Lemma 4.1 of [2] demonstrates that the solutions $Z(\lambda, \tau)$ are analytic in λ for $\tau \in [-1, 1)$. But the limit of $Z(\lambda, \tau)$ converges uniformly for $\lambda \in K$, so the limiting function of λ, $Z(\lambda, +1)$, is also analytic in λ. The spherical projection $\hat{Z}(\lambda, \tau)$ is not $\mathbb{C}$ analytic, but it will be real differentiable as a map from $\mathbb{R}^4 \to S^3$. This means the composition function $\hat{Z}(\lambda(s), +1)$ is differentiable with respect to the real parameter $s \in [0, 1]$. The quantity $\zeta(\lambda)$ is given as the ratio of components of $\hat{Z}(\lambda, 1)$ and $\hat{X}^{+}(\lambda)$ and is therefore differentiable in s.

□

Lemma 3.10. *For non-degenerate reference paths $X^{\pm}(\lambda)$ for $A_{\pm\infty}(\lambda)$ and $Z, \hat{Z}$ as defined in lemma 3.5 above, the geometric phase of $\hat{Z}(\lambda, +1)$ equals the winding of the induced phase plus the geometric phase of $\hat{X}^{+}(\lambda)$.*

Proof. The natural connection on the Hopf bundle, S^3, is given by the 1-form

$$\omega(V_p) \equiv \langle V_p, p \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2}, \qquad V_p \in T_p(S^3) \subset T_p(\mathbb{C}^2)$$

so that to calculate the geometric phase of $\hat{Z}(\lambda(s), +1)$, we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{Z}(\lambda(s), +1) \quad &= \quad \zeta(\lambda(s))\hat{X}^{+}(\lambda(s)) \\
\Rightarrow \quad \tfrac{d}{ds}\hat{Z}(\lambda(s), +1) \quad &= \quad \zeta'(\lambda(s))\lambda'(s)\hat{X}^{-}(\lambda(s)) + \zeta(\lambda(s))\tfrac{d}{ds}\hat{X}^{+}(\lambda(s)) \\
\Rightarrow \quad \omega(\tfrac{d}{ds}\hat{Z}(\lambda(s), +1)) \quad &= \quad \overline{\zeta(s)}\zeta'(\lambda(s))\lambda'(s) + \omega(\tfrac{d}{ds}\hat{X}^{+}(\lambda(s)))
\end{aligned}$$

because $\hat{X}^{+}(\lambda(s))$ is a unit vector and $\zeta(s) \in S^1$. But the geometric phase of $\hat{Z}(\lambda, +1)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Delta\theta}{2\pi} &= \frac{-i}{2\pi}\int_K \omega\left(\frac{d}{ds}\hat{Z}(\lambda(s), +1)\right) d\lambda \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_0^1 \omega\left(\frac{d}{ds}\hat{Z}(\lambda(s))\right)\lambda'(s)ds \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_0^1 \left[\overline{\zeta(s)}\zeta'(\lambda(s))\lambda'(s) + \omega\left(\frac{d}{ds}\hat{X}^{+}(\lambda(s))\right)\right]\lambda'(s)ds
\end{aligned}$$

so that the geometric phase of $\hat{Z}(\lambda(s), +1)$ equals the winding of the induced phase plus the geometric phase of the reference path.

□

Let $V_1(\lambda), V_2(\lambda)$ be two non-degenerate reference paths for $A_{+\infty}(\lambda)$. Then there must be some smooth scaling $\sigma : K \to \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $V_1(\lambda) \equiv \sigma(\lambda)V_2(\lambda)$. But consider, the geometric phase of V_1 equals the geometric phase of V_2 plus the winding of

$$\hat{\sigma}(\lambda) \equiv \frac{\sigma(\lambda)}{\mid \sigma(\lambda) \mid}$$

as

$$\omega\left(\frac{d}{ds}\hat{V}_1(\lambda(s))\right) = \frac{d}{ds}\hat{\sigma}(\lambda(s))\overline{\hat{\sigma}}(\lambda(s)) + \omega\left(\frac{d}{ds}\hat{V}_2(\lambda(s))\right)$$

by definition of the connection. But the winding of $\hat{\sigma}(\lambda)$ must be zero or else foliating V_1 over K° would be tantamount to scaling a fiber of the unstable bundle by 0 or ∞. This consideration is important in guiding the discussion of the numerical example later on. Choosing degenerate paths for initial conditions that are

not compatible with the bundle construction produces some interesting behaviour which we will discuss in the example in Section 6.

3.4. **The Trivializations and the Transition Map.** We recall now the construction of the parameter sphere, defined

$$M \equiv K^\circ \times \{\tau = \pm 1\} \cup K \times \{\tau \in (-1, +1)\}$$

The unstable bundle is a non-trivial complex line bundle contained in the ambient trivial $\mathbb{C}^2$ vector bundle above the sphere; for fixed λ, as τ moves between ± 1, the parameters in the sphere are the values (λ, τ) which describe the motion of solutions $Z(\lambda, \tau)$. Taking a trivialization of this line bundle amounts to finding a linear isomorphism

$$\phi_\alpha : \mathcal{U}_\alpha \times \mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}_\alpha \times \mathbb{C}^2$$

where $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$ is a neighborhood in M, and the image of ϕ_α is the unstable bundle over $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$.

Definition 3.11. *Define the following:*

- *Let H_- be the **lower hemisphere** of M, given by*

  $$K^\circ \times \{\tau = -1\} \cup K \times \{\tau \in [-1, 1]\} \cup V \times \{\tau = +1\}$$

  where V is an open neighborhood in K° homotopy equivalent to S^1 with K in the closure of V. Assume no eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}$ is contained in V. Thus H_- is an open neighborhood of M
- *Let H_+ be the **upper hemisphere** of M, given by*

  $$K^\circ \times \{\tau = +1\} \cup K \times \{\tau \in (-1, 1]\}$$

  so H^+ is an open neighborhood of M.
- *Let Z and $\hat{Z}$ be as given in Section 3.3; abusing notation, let Z and $\hat{Z}$ also denote their extensions into $V \times \{\tau = +1\}$ so that for $\lambda \in V$, $Z(\lambda, +1)$ is smoothly compatible with the values $Z(\lambda, +1)$, $\lambda \in K$.*
- *Let $Y(\lambda, \tau)$ be a solution to the B system such that $Y(\lambda, \tau) \to X^+(\lambda)$ as $\xi \to +\infty$ for some non-degenerate reference path $X^+(\lambda)$ for $A_{+\infty}(\lambda)$, ie: $Y(\lambda, \tau)$ is in the center stable manifold of $X^+(\lambda)$. Extend Y into $K^\circ \times \{\tau = +1\}$ so that for $\lambda \in K^\circ$, $Y(\lambda, +1)$ is an eigenvector for the unstable direction of $A_{+\infty}(\lambda)$, smoothly compatible with the values on the boundary K. We define the spherical projection of Y to be $\hat{Y}$.*

For fixed (λ, τ), where they are defined, $\hat{Z}, \hat{Y}$ each span the unstable bundle. $\hat{Z}$ is defined over H_- and $\hat{Y}$ is defined over H_+, so that for any point p in the unstable bundle we may choose a unique $z \in \mathbb{C}$ for which $p \equiv (\lambda, \tau, z\hat{Z})$ if p is is over H_-, or choose a unique $y \in \mathbb{C}$ for which $p \equiv (\lambda, \tau, y\hat{Y})$ if p is over H_+. Thus the projections $\hat{Z}, \hat{Y}$ give choices of trivializations for the unstable bundle over H_-, H_+ respectively.

Definition 3.12. *For a choice of hemispheres, Z and Y as above, define the following maps:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_- : \quad H_- \times \mathbb{C} &\hookrightarrow H_- \times \mathbb{C}^2 \\
(\lambda, \tau, z) &\mapsto (\lambda, \tau, z\hat{Z}(\lambda, \tau)) \\
\phi_+ : \quad H_+ \times \mathbb{C} &\hookrightarrow H_+ \times \mathbb{C}^2 \\
(\lambda, \tau, y) &\mapsto (\lambda, \tau, y\hat{Y}(\lambda, \tau))
\end{aligned}$$

*These maps are the **trivializations of the unstable bundle** with respect to $H_\pm$, Z and Y. The maps $\phi_\pm$ are linear vector bundle isomorphisms, and their composition $\hat{\phi} \equiv \phi_+^{-1} \circ \phi_-$ defined on $H_- \cap H_+ \times \mathbb{C}$ is the **transition map** of the unstable bundle.*

Fixing τ such that $(\lambda, \tau) \in H_- \cap H_+ \ \forall \lambda \in K$, the transition map can be seen as a mapping from S^1 to $GL(1, \mathbb{C})$, ie: we take the restriction of the composition of trivializations to the λ parameter

$$\begin{array}{rccc} {\phi_+}^{-1} \circ \phi_-(\lambda, \tau, -): & K \cong S^1 & \to & GL(1, \mathbb{C}) \\ & (\lambda, -) & \mapsto & \hat{\phi} \\ \hat{\phi}: & \mathbb{C} & \to & \mathbb{C} \\ & z & \mapsto & y \end{array}$$

where

$$z(\lambda, \tau)\hat{Z}(\lambda, \tau) = y(\lambda, \tau)\hat{Y}(\lambda, \tau)$$

But for a fixed $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$,

$$[{\phi_+}^{-1} \circ \phi_-(-, \tau, z)] \in \pi_1(GL(1, \mathbb{C})) \cong \pi_1(S^1).$$

That is for z and τ fixed, we may associate

$${\phi_+}^{-1} \circ \phi_-(-, \tau, z) \equiv \hat{\phi}_{(\tau, z)}(-)$$

with a multiple of the generator of $\pi_1(S^1)$. Let $[\hat{\phi}_{(\tau,z)}(\lambda)] = [e^{2\pi i s}]^m$, with $s \in [0, 1]$.

Remark 3.13. *The winding of the map $\hat{\phi}_{(\tau,z)}(\lambda)$, equal to m, is the **Chern class** of the unstable bundle, and equals the multiplicity of the eigenvalues contained in K°* [2].

Lemma 3.14. *For a choice of non-degenerate reference paths $X^\pm(\lambda)$ for $A_{\pm\infty}(\lambda)$, the winding of the induced phase equals the Chern class of the unstable bundle.*

Proof. Notice that for $(\lambda, +1) \in H_- \cap H_+$ the transition map can be described through the induced phase:

$$z \ \mapsto \ z\hat{Z}(\lambda(s), +1) \ \equiv \ z\zeta(\lambda(s))\hat{X}^+(\lambda(s)) \ \equiv \ z\zeta(\lambda(s))\hat{Y}(\lambda(s), +1) \ \mapsto \ z\zeta(\lambda(s))$$

so that the transition map $\hat{\phi}$ is exactly given by $z \mapsto \zeta(\lambda(s))z$ But the number of windings $\zeta(\lambda(s))$ takes around the circle is given by

$$m = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\zeta(K)} \frac{1}{z} dz \tag{3.3}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^1 \overline{\zeta(\lambda(s))} \zeta'(\lambda(s)) \lambda'(s) ds \tag{3.4}$$

so that the Chern class is given by the equation (3.4) for the winding of the induced phase. □

3.5. **The Geometric Phase and the Transition Map.** We have now found the relationship between the induced phase $\zeta(s)$, for non-degenerate reference paths, and the Chern class of the unstable bundle over M. However, we still need to interpret this in terms of the geometric phase in the Hopf bundle. Let $Z, \hat{Z}$ be defined as in Section 3.3. $Z, \hat{Z} \in W^u$ for all ξ and

$$Z^\sharp \ := \ e^{i\mu_2(\lambda(s))\xi} Z$$

is the corresponding solution to the A system (3.1) at ξ. We want to show that the geometric phase of the two solutions agree for each ξ, and that this phase converges to the winding of the transition map for the unstable bundle as $\xi \to +\infty$.

Lemma 3.15. *Let $X\pm$ be non-degenerate reference paths for $A_{\pm\infty}(\lambda)$. Then the geometric phase of both $X^\pm(\lambda)$ is always zero.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, let $X^+(\lambda)$ be some non-degenerate reference path and $\hat{X}^+$ be its projection. We want to show that the integral of the phase of $\hat{X}^+(\lambda)$ is always zero, and to do so we will consider the connection in a differential form view.

The natural connection can be written, as shown in Way [16], in the form $\omega \equiv V^H dV$, where H will denote the hermitian transpose of the vector V. Written as a 1-form on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ ω then becomes $a_j da^j - b_j db^j$, where $v_j = a_j + ib_j$. Notice, if we take the exterior derivative $d\omega$ this will always equal zero

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega &= (\partial_{a_k} da^k + \partial_{b_k} db^k) \wedge (a_j da^j - b_j db^j) \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad d\omega &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

For this reason it will be natural to consider Stokes' theorem. Indeed, suppose $\hat{X}^+(\lambda)$ is extended over a neighborhood U that contains K and assume that over U, $\hat{X}^+(\lambda)$ is locally an injection. Here $\lambda \equiv (a, b)$, so that $\hat{X}^+(\lambda)$ is viewed this as a map from $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Then for each point $\lambda \in K$ we may find a closed neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of λ for which $\hat{X}^+(\lambda)$ defines a diffeomorphism onto its image. The image $\hat{X}^+(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{V}$ is thus a compact manifold of dimension 2, with boundary, for which ω defines a 1-form. Stokes' theorem implies that the integral of ω about $\partial\mathcal{V}$, or any simple loop in $\mathcal{V}$, must equal zero. Thus the integral of $\mathcal{U} \cap K$ only depends on the endpoints. K is compact, so we make take a disjoint finite cover of such local neighborhoods; the integral $\int_K \omega(\partial_\lambda \hat{X}^+) \equiv \int_{\hat{X}^+(K)} \omega$ thus decomposes into the local integrals, the sum of which vanishes.

The lemma is proven if $\hat{X}^+(\lambda)$ is locally injective. Thus assume it is not locally injective - we will prove in this case the integral of the phase must still be zero.

If $\hat{X}^+(\lambda)$ is not locally injective, then there must exist some $\lambda_n \to \lambda_0$ for which $\hat{X}^+(\lambda_n) \equiv \hat{X}^+(\lambda_0)$. Consider then the limit:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\lambda \mid_{\lambda_0} X^+(\lambda) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{X^+(\lambda_n) - X^+(\lambda_0)}{\lambda_n - \lambda_0} \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\hat{X}^+(\lambda_n) \parallel X^+(\lambda_n) \parallel - \hat{X}^+(\lambda_0) \parallel X^+(\lambda_0) \parallel}{\lambda_n - \lambda_0} \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\hat{X}^+(\lambda_0)[\parallel X^+(\lambda_n) \parallel - \parallel X^+(\lambda_0) \parallel]}{\lambda_n - \lambda_0} \\ &= \hat{X}^+(\lambda_0) \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\parallel X^+(\lambda_n) \parallel - \parallel X^+(\lambda_0) \parallel}{\lambda_n - \lambda_0} \end{aligned}$$

so that the limit

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\parallel X^+(\lambda_n) \parallel - \parallel X^+(\lambda_0) \parallel}{\lambda_n - \lambda_0}$$

must converge to a value in $\mathbb{C}$. This means in particular

$$\partial_\lambda \mid_{\lambda_0} X^+(\lambda) = \alpha X^+(\lambda_0)$$

where the derivative in λ is component-wise. The existence and uniqueness of ODE solutions guarantees that for a parameterization $\lambda(t)$ of K, $X^+(\lambda(t)) = X^+(\lambda_0)e^{\alpha\lambda(t)}$ for t in an interval V such that $\lambda(V) \subset K$ contains λ_0. Without loss of generality, let V be the maximal interval for which the ODE equation is defined; the projection is $\hat{X}^+(\lambda(t)) = \hat{X}^+(\lambda_0)e^{i\mathrm{Im}(\alpha\lambda(t))}$ so that the integral equation over V yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\hat{X}^+(\lambda(V))} \omega &= \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \langle \hat{X}^+(\lambda_0)e^{i\mathrm{Im}(\alpha\lambda(t))} \frac{d}{dt}[i\mathrm{Im}(\alpha\lambda(t))], \hat{X}^+(\lambda_0)e^{i\mathrm{Im}(\alpha\lambda(t))} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2} dt \\ &= i\left[\mathrm{Im}\left(\alpha\lambda(t_1)\right) - \mathrm{Im}\left(\alpha\lambda(t_0)\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

This means for a point λ_0 where $\hat{X}^+(\lambda)$ is not injective in any neighborhood of λ_0, the integral of the connection along the contour K may be reduced to local neighborhoods where the integral depends only on the endpoints; specifically we will take maximal neighborhoods for the ODE solutions as above at points that no local injection can be defined, and elsewhere the integral reduces to the case we already proved. Indeed the construction of such neigborhoods is possible because if at the endpoints of the maximal intervals for the ODE equations, $\hat{X}^+(\lambda)$ wasn't locally injective, we could repeat the proof above and by uniqueness of solutions we would be able to extend the maximal interval of the ODE solutions, a contradiction. This means finally that the geometric phase of a generic non-degenerate reference path is zero.

□

Theorem 3.16. *For non-degenerate reference paths $X^{\pm}(\lambda)$ for $A_{\pm\infty}(\lambda)$ and $Z, \hat{Z}$ defined as in Section 3.3, the geometric phase of the projected solution $\hat{Z}(\lambda, +1)$ equals the Chern class of the unstable bundle, and therefore the multiplicity of the eigenvalues enclosed by the contour K.*

Proof. This theorem is a direct consequence of Lemmas 3.10, 3.14 and 3.15.

□

Finally we will explore the relationship between the solutions to the B system where we calculate the phase in the proof, and the solutions to the A system.

Proposition 3.17. *Let $X^{\pm}(\lambda)$ be reference paths for $A_{\pm\infty}$ and suppose Z and $Z^{\sharp}$ are solutions, to the B and A system respectively, and agree at ξ_0. Let $\hat{Z}, \hat{Z}^{\sharp}$ denote their respective projections onto the sphere S^3, then for arbitrary ξ the geometric phase of $\hat{Z}(\lambda, \tau(\xi))$ and $\hat{Z}^{\sharp}(\lambda, \tau(\xi))$ agree.*

Proof. Suppose $\mu_2(\lambda) \equiv \alpha(\lambda) + i\beta(\lambda)$, and recall the solution to the A system given by

$$Z^{\sharp}(\lambda, \tau(\xi)) = e^{\mu_2(\lambda)(\xi - \xi_0)} Z(\lambda, \tau(\xi)).$$

Without loss of generality, we will suppose $\xi_0 = 0$ so that $Z^{\sharp}$ is the unique solution to the A system that agrees with Z at $\xi = 0$; the proof will not depend on the constant. The projection of $Z^{\sharp}$ onto the Hopf bundle is given by

$$\hat{Z}^{\sharp}(\lambda, \tau(\xi)) \equiv e^{i\beta(\lambda)\xi} \hat{Z}(\lambda, \tau(\xi)),$$

so that calculating the phase:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \hat{Z}^{\sharp}(\lambda(s), \tau(\xi)) && = && e^{i\beta(\lambda(s))\xi} \hat{Z}(\lambda(s), \tau(\xi)) \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \tfrac{d}{ds} \hat{Z}^{\sharp}(\lambda(s), \tau(\xi)) && = && i\beta'(\lambda(s))\lambda'(s)\xi e^{i\beta(\lambda(s))\xi} \hat{Z}(\lambda(s), \tau(\xi)) + e^{i\beta(\lambda(s))\xi} \tfrac{d}{ds} \hat{Z}(\lambda(s), \tau(\xi)) \qquad (3.5) \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \omega\left(\tfrac{d}{ds} \hat{Z}^{\sharp}(\lambda(s), \tau(\xi))\right) && = && i\beta'(\lambda(s))\lambda'(s)\xi + \omega\left(\tfrac{d}{ds} \hat{Z}(\lambda(s), \tau(\xi))\right)
\end{aligned}$$

But $\mu_2(\lambda), \mu_2'(\lambda)$ are each holomorphic by construction so that

$$\int_K \mu_2'(\lambda) = \int_K \alpha'(\lambda) + i \int_K \beta'(\lambda) \equiv 0$$

and the real and imaginary parts both must equal zero. The $i\beta'(\lambda(s))\lambda'(s)\xi$ term thus vanishes in equation (3.5) when integrated for $s \in [0, 1]$. This proves the geometric phase of $\hat{Z}^{\sharp}(\lambda, \xi)$ of the A system corresponds to the phase of the solution $\hat{Z}(\lambda, \xi)$ for the B system for arbitrary ξ. For systems defined on $\mathbb{C}^2$ we may thus obtain the multiplicity of the eigenvalues contained in K° with a solution to either the A or B system utilizing Way's method of the geometric phase.

□

Remark 3.18. *Suppose we integrate a solution as described in Way's method to ξ_1; as $\xi_1 \to \infty$ the geometric phase will converge to the multiplicity as in the proof above. In practice, however, it seems it is not actually necessary to take ξ_1 arbitrarily close to $+\infty$. Rather it appears that ξ_1 must only be greater than some threshold value where a phase transition has occurred, ie: from zero phase to the multiplicity of the eigenvalues. We will discuss this further in Section 6.*

4. Extending the Two Dimensional Method

In this Section we adapt the techniques developed in for systems on $\mathbb{C}^2$ in the previous Section to take advantage of the full generality in which the unstable bundle can be constructed. Firstly we will extend the techniques to the case when there are $k > 1$ unstable directions, and once we have a general method we will loosen the conditions on the asymptotic systems $A_{\pm\infty}$.

4.1. **The n Dimensional Case and Determinant Unstable Bundle.** Suppose now the operator $\mathcal{L}$ defines an A system and B system on $\mathbb{C}^n$. If for all $\lambda \in \Omega$, $A_\infty = A_{\pm\infty}$ has one unstable direction and $n-1$ stable directions, we can use essentially the same techniques as when there were two dimensions; although the ambient complex dimension has increased, the unstable bundle is still one dimensional. Proceeding through the construction as in two dimensions, we again obtain the induced parallel translation $\zeta(\lambda(s))$ from a solution to the B system. The natural connection on the Hopf bundle S^{2n-1} takes the same form for every n, so the calculations of the geometric phase is no different than in the two dimensional case. Likewise if the stable manifold is 1-dimensional, we may calculate the Chern class of the analogous stable bundle without any serious modification of the method.

Suppose more generally there are $1 < k < n-1$ unstable directions for the system A_∞; the k dimensional unstable bundle, E, is again formed from the unstable manifold $W^u(\lambda, \tau)$ of the critical point $(0, -1)$, and the Chern class of this vector bundle equals the multiplicity of the eigenvalues contained in K° [2]. It is no longer sufficient, however, to look at an eigenvector for the most unstable eigenvalue as in Way's method [16], as this will not capture the information of the full unstable bundle. To write the transition map of E as a value in S^1, as in the two dimensional case, requires us to introduce the determinant bundle constructed from a k dimensional vector bundle. This technique uses subspace coordinates, reducing the dimension of the unstable bundle to one, while raising the ambient complex dimension of the system. The goal is thus to apply the same construction of the induced phase to the determinant bundle of the k dimensional unstable space.

Definition 4.1. *The k^{th} **exterior power of** $\mathbb{C}^n$, $\Lambda^k(\mathbb{C}^n) \equiv \mathbb{C}^{\binom{n}{k}}$, is the complex vector space of non-degenerate k forms on $\mathbb{C}^n$, ie: $\Lambda^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is spanned by*

$$v = v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k \qquad v_i \in \mathbb{C}^n \ \ \forall i$$

and v is non-degenerate provided $\{v_i\}_1^k$ is linearly independent.

Definition 4.2. *Given a dynamical system*

$$X' = AX \qquad ' = \tfrac{d}{d\xi} \qquad X \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

*let $Y = Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_k \in \Lambda^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$. The associated $A^{(k)}$ **system** on $\Lambda^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is generated by*

$$Y' = A^{(k)}Y \tag{4.1}$$

$$:= AY_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_k + \cdots + Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge AY_k \tag{4.2}$$

Remark 4.3. *By equation (4.2) it is clear that the eigenvalues for the $A^{(k)}$ system corresponding to the A system are the sums of all k-tuples of eigenvalues for A. Thus for $A^{(k)}$, there is a unique eigenvalue of largest positive real part given by the sum of all eigenvalues with positive real part, including geometric multiplicity.*

Definition 4.4. *Suppose $\mathcal{L}$ instead defines a system of the form (3.1) on $\mathbb{C}^n$. The corresponding $A^{(k)}$ and $B^{(k)}$ systems on $\Lambda^k(\mathbb{C}^n) \equiv \mathbb{C}^{\binom{n}{k}}$ are defined:*

$$\begin{aligned} &Y' = A^{(k)}(\lambda, \tau)Y \quad A^{(k)}_\infty(\lambda) = \lim_{\xi \to \pm\infty} A^{(k)}(\lambda, \tau) \\ &\tau' = \kappa(1 - \tau^2) \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &B^{(k)}(\lambda, \tau) := (A^{(k)}(\lambda, \tau) - \mu^+(\lambda)) \qquad X' = B^{(k)}X \\ &B^{(k)}_\infty(\lambda) := \lim_{\xi \to \pm\infty} B^{(k)}(\lambda, \tau) \qquad \tau' = \kappa(1 - \tau^2) \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

If $\{\mu_1^\pm, \cdots, \mu_k^\pm\}$ are all eigenvalues of positive real part for $A_{\pm\infty}(\lambda)$, denote $\mu^\pm := \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i^\pm$.

Bridges [5] demonstrates that there is an explicit algorithm to compute the $A^{(k)}$ system (4.2) on the exterior power $\Lambda^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$: the coefficients of the $A^{(k)}$ are calculated through the inner product induced on $\Lambda^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$, by the inner product on $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Definition 4.5. *Let $e_1, \cdots, e_n$ denote the standard basis on $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_d$ be the orthonormal basis for $\Lambda^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ generated from the $\{e_i\}$, ie: all k-forms*

$$e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k} \qquad i_j < i_{j+1} \ \ \forall j.$$

*If $x := x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k$ and $y := y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_k$ are k-forms in $\Lambda^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$, their **inner product** is defined*

$$\ll x, y \gg_k \quad = \quad det \begin{pmatrix} \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} & \cdots & \langle x_1, y_k \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_k, y_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} & \cdots & \langle x_k, y_k \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.5}$$

For $A^{(k)}x = \sum_{j=1}^{k} x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Ax_j \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k$, Bridges [5] shows the coefficients of $A^{(k)}$ can be computed following

$$\{A^{(k)}\}_{i,j} = \ll \omega_i, A\omega_j \gg_k \qquad i, j = 1, \cdots, d = \binom{n}{k}$$

For example, an explicit calculation of the $A^{(2)}$ system on $\Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^4)$ is given by Afendikov, Andrei and Bridges [1]; generally for a 4×4 matrix $\{A\}_{i,j}$ this algorithm generates the following $A^{(2)}$ system:

$$A^{(2)} \quad = \quad \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}+a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} & -a_{13} & -a_{14} & 0 \\ a_{32} & a_{11}+a_{33} & a_{34} & a_{12} & 0 & -a_{14} \\ a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{11}+a_{44} & 0 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ -a_{31} & a_{21} & 0 & a_{22}+a_{33} & a_{34} & -a_{24} \\ -a_{41} & 0 & a_{21} & a_{43} & a_{22}+a_{44} & a_{23} \\ 0 & -a_{41} & a_{31} & -a_{42} & a_{32} & a_{33}+a_{44} \end{pmatrix}$$

For the system (4.4), $B_\infty^{(k)}$ has a center direction of critical points, an unstable real direction, and all other directions are stable; the line of critical points is given by the span of the wedge of linearly independent eigenvectors corresponding to $\{\mu_1^+, \cdots, \mu_k^+\}$.

Definition 4.6. *For all $(\lambda, \tau) \in M$, let $\{w_i(\lambda, \tau)\}_1^k$ be a spanning set for the unstable manifold W^u at (λ, τ). Then define*

$$\Lambda^k(W^u(\lambda, \tau)) \equiv span_{\mathbb{C}}\{w_1(\lambda, \tau) \wedge \cdots \wedge w_k(\lambda, \tau)\}$$

and we denote

$$\Lambda^k(E) \equiv \{(\lambda, \tau, w) \in M \times \Lambda^k(W^u(\lambda, \tau))\} \tag{4.6}$$

***determinant bundle of the unstable manifold** over M.*

Let the transition map of the unstable bundle E be denoted $\hat{\phi}_E$. The determinant bundle, $\Lambda^k(E)$, acquires its namesake from the construction of its transition map $\hat{\phi}_E^k$. The transition map of the k-dimensional unstable bundle is a λ dependent, non-singular mapping of k-frames of n dimensional complex vectors. Restricting to the equator of M, we thus interpret the transition map

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\phi}_E : \quad S^1 \quad &\to \quad GL(\mathbb{C}, k) \\ \lambda \quad &\mapsto \qquad \Psi(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

so that it defines an element of $\pi_1(GL(\mathbb{C}, k))$. But notice, $\det(\hat{\phi}_E(\lambda)) \in GL(\mathbb{C}, 1)$ for all $\lambda \in K$, so that the determinant induces a homomorphism of fundamental groups

$$\begin{aligned} \det_* : \quad \pi_1(GL(\mathbb{C}, k)) \quad &\to \quad \pi(GL(\mathbb{C}, 1)) \\ [\hat{\phi_E}] \qquad &\mapsto \qquad [\det \circ \hat{\phi_E}] \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.7. *The mapping* $\det \circ \hat{\phi}_E(\lambda) \equiv \hat{\phi}_E^k$*, the transition map of the determinant bundle.*

Remark 4.8. *Alexander, Gardner and Jones* [2] *prove that the Chern class of the determinant bundle of the unstable manifold over* M *equals the multiplicity of eigenvalues for* $\mathcal{L}$ *contained in* K*. Thus we will calculate the induced phase for* $\Lambda^k(E)$*, as a complex line bundle over* M*.*

4.2. **Solving the k Unstable Direction Case.** For systems (4.3) with symmetric asymptotic limits, we will utilize Way's method, calculating the geometric phase of the solution $Z(\lambda, \tau)$ that corresponds to the eigenvalue of most positive real part, but instead apply it to the determinant bundle $\Lambda^k(E)$. These modifications are presented in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.9. *Let the* $A^{(k)}$ *and* $B^{(k)}$ *systems be defined as in equations (4.3) and (4.4) above, and suppose* $A_\infty^{(k)}(\lambda)$ *has exactly* k *unstable eigenvalues and* $n-k$ *stable eigenvalues for all* $\lambda \in \Omega$*. Let* $X^\pm(\lambda)$ *be non-degenerate reference paths for* $A_{\pm\infty}^{(k)}(\lambda)$ *and suppose* $Z(\lambda, \tau)$ *is in the center-unstable manifold of* $X^-(\lambda)$ *with respect to the* $B^{(k)}$ *system. Then the geometric phase of* $\hat{Z}(\lambda, +1)$ *equals the multiplicity of then eigenvalues enclosed by the contour* K*.*

Proof. As in the two dimensional case, Z forms a $\mathbb{C}$ analytic section of the determinant bundle for $\tau \in [-1, +1]$: Section 4 of Alexander, Gardner, Jones [2] shows that this solution is analytic on $[-1, +1)$ and Section 6 shows that the limit as $\xi \to +\infty$ is non-zero and continuous. The proof of locally uniform convergence in proposition 3.9 holds here as well, so that the extension of Z to $Z(\lambda, +1)$ is $\mathbb{C}$ analytic.

Therefore we take a hyper-spherical projection of Z, $\hat{Z}$, onto the

$$S^{(2\binom{n}{k}-1)} \subset \mathbb{C}^{\binom{n}{k}} \cong \Lambda^k(\mathbb{C}^n);$$

With respect to $X^\pm(\lambda)$ we again obtain the induced phase $\zeta(\lambda)$.

Let $Y(\lambda, \tau)$ be solutions to the $B^{(k)}$ system that are in the center-stable manifold of the path $\hat{X}^+(\lambda)$ at $\tau = +1$, $\hat{Y}$ will be the projection of these solutions; the trivializations of the determinant bundle can be expressed in terms of $\hat{Z}$ and $\hat{Y}$, which yields transition map

$$\hat{Z}(\lambda, +1) \equiv \zeta(\lambda)\hat{X}^+(\lambda) \equiv \zeta(\lambda)\hat{Y}(\lambda, +1)$$

The winding of $\zeta(\lambda)$ is thus equal to the Chern class of the determinant bundle, and is related to the geometric phase by the same formulation as in the two dimensional case. □

Thus in the case of k unstable directions, we may calculate the multiplicity of the eigenvalues contained in the region K° by an adaptation of Way's method applied to the determinant bundle of the unstable manifold.

4.3. **The General Case and Non-symmetric Asymptotic Systems.** In the preceding Sections we developed a method for finding the multiplicity of eigenvalues for $\mathcal{L}$ in the region K°, but the method was restricted to the case for which $\lim_{\xi\to-\infty} A(\lambda, \xi) \equiv \lim_{\xi\to+\infty} A(\lambda, \xi)$. The unstable bundle construction, however, was valid for general systems $A_{\pm\infty}$ that **split** in Ω, ie: each have exactly k unstable, and $n-k$ stable directions for every $\lambda \in \Omega$. The final modification we will make is to account for non-symmetric asymptotic systems.

Suppose we have the determinant bundle system

$$\begin{aligned} Y' &= A^{(k)}(\lambda, \tau)Y \quad A_{\pm\infty}^{(k)}(\lambda) = \lim_{\xi\to\pm\infty} A^{(k)}(\lambda, \tau) \\ \tau' &= \kappa(1-\tau^2) \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

derived from the flow $Y' = AY$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$. Note, the determinant bundle reduces to the regular unstable bundle in the case where there $k = 1$, so the construction for non-symmetric systems is defined for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $X^\pm(\lambda)$, non-degenerate reference paths for $A_{\pm\infty}(\lambda)$, we may construct the center-unstable manifold of the direction of critical points at $\tau = -1$ in the $B^{(k)}$ as before. However, the behaviour of such a solution will differ from the previous Section when $\tau \to +1$. The dominating unstable eigenvalue for the system at

$\tau = +1$ in general differs from the value at $\tau = -1$, but we must guarantee the hyper-spherical projection is non-singular as $\xi \to \infty$.

Definition 4.10. *For non-degenerate reference paths $X^{\pm}(\lambda)$ for $A^{(k)}_{\pm\infty}(\lambda)$ define the center-unstable manifold of $X^{-}(\lambda)$ in the $B^{(k)}$ system to be $Z(\lambda,\tau)$ for $\tau \in [-1,0]$. Recall that*

$$Z' = [A^{(k)}(\lambda,\tau) - \mu^{-}(\lambda)I] = B^{(k)}Z \qquad \forall \tau \in (-1,0]$$

Therefore, for

$$Z^{\sharp}(\lambda,\tau) := e^{\mu^{-}(\lambda)\xi}Z(\lambda,\tau) \qquad \tau \in (-1,+1)$$

define

$$\Gamma(\lambda,\tau) := \begin{cases} e^{(-\mu^{-}\xi)}Z^{\sharp}(\lambda,\tau) & \text{for } \tau \in [-1,0) \\ e^{(-\mu^{+}\xi)}Z^{\sharp}(\lambda,\tau) & \text{for } \tau \in [0,+1) \\ \lim_{\xi\to\infty} e^{(-\mu^{+}\xi)}Z^{\sharp}(\lambda,\tau) & \text{for } \tau = +1 \end{cases} \tag{4.8}$$

Proposition 4.11. *$\Gamma(\lambda,\tau)$, defined as above, is non-zero and spans the determinant bundle $\forall(\lambda,\tau) \in H_{-}$, and is analytic in λ for fixed τ. Note, $\tau = 0 \Leftrightarrow \xi = 0$.*

Proof. Notice that $\Gamma(\lambda,\tau) \equiv Z(\lambda,\tau)$ for all $(\lambda,\tau) \in K \times [-1,0]$, as for these values, the scaling above is simply the inverse of the map which took $Z \mapsto Z^{\sharp}$. We thus gain analyticity of Γ for $\tau \in [-1,+1)$ from the analyticity of Z. The scaling taking $Z^{\sharp} \mapsto \Gamma$ for $\tau \in [0,+1)$ can be seen as changing the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue of largest positive real part at $A^{(k)}_{+\infty}$ into a subspace of critical points, as was done at for the system $A^{(k)}_{-\infty}$. We need to show that under the flow defined by

$$X' = (A^{(k)}(\lambda,\tau) - \mu^{+}(\lambda)I)X$$

the solution Γ converges uniformly in λ to a non-zero critical point in the zero eigen subspace. This uniform convergence is obtained by using Lemma 6.1 in Alexander, Gardner, Jones [2] in full generality, and following the proof of Proposition 3.9, so that Γ indeed defines a section of the determinant bundle over H_{-}. □

Theorem 4.12. *Let the A^{k} system be defined as in equation (4.7) and suppose $A_{\pm\infty}(\lambda)$ split in Ω. Then the geometric phase of $\hat{\Gamma}(\lambda,+1)$, for Γ as defined in definition 4.10, equals the multiplicity of then eigenvalues enclosed by the contour K.*

Proof. To adapt the determinant bundle method from here, we need only define $Y, \hat{Y}$ appropriately so they converge to the reference path for $A^{(k)}_{+\infty}$, $\hat{X}^{+}(\lambda)$. The construction of the induced parallel translation will follow analogously, as will the lemmas of Section 3.

□

5. Boundary Value Problems

Gardner and Jones further developed the bundle construction for the Evans Function to study boundary value problems with parabolic boundary conditions [11], ie: problems of the form

$$u_t = Du_{xx} + f(x,u,u_x) \qquad (0 < x < 1)$$

$$u(x,0) = u_0 \qquad B_0u = 0 \qquad B_1u = 0$$

where $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $f : \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is C^2. The matrix D is a positive diagonal matrix and the boundary operators are defined

$$B_0u = D^0u(0,t) + N^0u_x(0,t)$$
$$B_1u = D^1u(0,t) + N^1u_x(0,t)$$

such that D^j, N^j are diagonal with entries α^j_i, β^j_i respectively that satisfy

$$\left(\alpha^j_i\right)^2 + \left(\beta^j_i\right)^2 = 1 \qquad 1 \le i \le n; \quad i = 1,2$$

Austin and Bridges built upon and generalized these bundle methods into a vector bundle construction for boundary value problems for which the boundary conditions can depend on λ, and allow for general splitting of the boundary conditions [3]. In this Section we will consider how Way's method can be adapted to boundary value problems, using the techniques Austin and Bridges developed for the general boundary conditions.

5.1. **Constructing the Boundary Bundle for $\mathbb{C}^n$.** Suppose for $n \geq 2$ we are given a system of ODE's defining a flow on $\mathbb{C}^n$, derived from the linearization $\mathcal{L}$ of a reaction diffusion equation about a steady state. Assume the system is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} &u_x = A(\lambda, x)u \qquad 0 < x < 1 \qquad \lambda \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \\ &a_i^*(\bar{\lambda}) : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^n \quad i = 1, ..., n-k \quad b_i^*(\bar{\lambda}) : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^n \quad i = 1, ..., k \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

where $A(\lambda, x)$ depends analytically on λ, and the a_i^*, b_i^* are holomorphic functions of $\bar{\lambda}$.

The ambient trivial bundle is once again constructed from the product $M \times \mathbb{C}^n$. The vectors $(\lambda, x, a_i^*), (\lambda, x, b_i^*)$ for each $(\lambda, x) \in M$ are anti-holomorphic sections of the trivial bundle, motivating the above dual notation.

Definition 5.1. *For a pair $\nu(\lambda, x)$, $\eta(\lambda, x)$ where ν is a holomorphic section and η is an anti-holomorphic section of the trivial bundle $M \times \mathbb{C}^n$, their product is defined as:*

$$\langle \eta, \nu \rangle_\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \overline{\eta_j(\bar{\lambda})} \nu_j(\lambda) \tag{5.2}$$

where η_j, ν_j are their respective components.

Remark 5.2. *This scalar product is holomorphic for all $\lambda \in \Omega$, and the boundary value problem is formulated as follows: $u(\lambda, x)$ is an eigen function of the operator $\mathcal{L}$ for the eigenvalue λ if and only if $u(\lambda, x)$ is a solution to $u_x = A(\lambda, x)u$ and*

$$\langle a_i^*(\bar{\lambda}), u(\lambda, 0) \rangle_\lambda = 0 \qquad i = 1, ..., n-k$$

$$\langle b_i^*(\bar{\lambda}), u(\lambda, 1) \rangle_\lambda = 0 \qquad i = 1, ..., k$$

A significant difference in this construction from the asymptotic system is that there are no dynamics to consider on the caps of the parameter sphere, and we will not be concerned with the eigenvalues of a limiting system. What is needed then is an analogue to the unstable bundle that will trace the dynamics and pick up winding when traversing the parameter sphere between $\tau = \mp 1$. One choice is the orthogonal compliment to the initial conditions, dimension k, and the manifold defined by their evolution.

We need to show these subspaces, and their images under the flow, vary holomoprhically with respect to $\lambda \in \Omega$. With a holomorphic basis, we may construct a non-trivial vector bundle over M through which we can calculate the geometric phase with the determinant bundle.

Theorem 5.3. *For a system of the form (5.1) derived from the operator $\mathcal{L}$ there exists analytic choices of orthogonal bases for $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that*

$$V_0 := \{\nu_i(\lambda) : \lambda \in \Omega\}_1^{n-k} \qquad U_0 := \{\xi_i(\lambda) : \lambda \in \Omega\}_1^k \qquad V_0 \oplus U_0 = \mathbb{C}^n \tag{5.3}$$

$$V_1 := \{\upsilon_i(\lambda) : \lambda \in \Omega\}_1^{n-k} \qquad U_1 := \{\eta_i(\lambda) : \lambda \in \Omega\}_1^k \qquad V_1 \oplus U_1 = \mathbb{C}^n \tag{5.4}$$

$$span_{\mathbb{C}}\{\nu_i\}_1^{n-k} = span_{\mathbb{C}}\{a_i^*\}_1^{n-k} \qquad span_{\mathbb{C}}\{\eta_i\}_1^k = span_{\mathbb{C}}\{b_i^*\}_1^k \tag{5.5}$$

and with respect to the product of sections (5.2)

$$\langle a_i^*(\bar{\lambda}), \xi_j(\lambda) \rangle_\lambda = 0 \qquad 0 \leq i \leq n-k, \;\; 0 \leq j \leq k \tag{5.6}$$

$$\langle b_i^*(\bar{\lambda}), \upsilon_j(\lambda) \rangle_\lambda = 0 \qquad 0 \leq i \leq k, \;\; 0 \leq j \leq n-k \tag{5.7}$$

Proof. This is the content of Austin and Bridges' results in lemmas 3.1 through 3.3 in [3] and the reader is referred there for a full discussion.

□

Remark 5.4. *Reformulating the problem in this context, $u(\lambda, x)$ is an eigenfunction of $\mathcal{L}$ with eigenvalue λ if and only if*

$$u(\lambda, 0) \in span_{\mathbb{C}}\{U_0(\lambda)\}$$
$$u(\lambda, 1) \in span_{\mathbb{C}}\{V_1(\lambda)\}.$$

Thus we will to define a "boundary bundle" over M by prescribing the subspaces $U_0(\lambda), U_1(\lambda) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ on the caps of M, and find subspaces that connect these over the sides of M and pick up the information of the flow. We choose these fibers above the caps of the boundary bundle because if λ is not an eigenvalue, a solution to the system $u' = Au$ cannot be in the span U_0 and V_1 at $x = 0$ and $x = 1$ respectively.

Any collection of solutions $\{\gamma_1(\lambda, x), ..., \gamma_k(\lambda, x)\}$ that satisfy the boundary conditions at $x = 0$, and are linearly independent for $(\lambda, 0)$, will be linearly independent for (λ, x) where $x \in [0, 1)$. In particular when λ is not an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}$, then $\{\gamma_1(\lambda, 1), ..., \gamma_k(\lambda, 1)\}$ are linearly independent and must span *some* compliment of $V_1(\lambda)$; in general this need not be the subspace $U_1(\lambda)$, but it is possible to smoothly deform the solutions into $U_1(\lambda)$ with the projection operator.

Definition 5.5. *Define the λ dependent projection operator*

$$Q_\lambda : \mathbb{C}^n \to U_1(\lambda)$$

and let

$$P_\lambda : \mathbb{C}^n \to V_1(\lambda)$$

be the projection operator onto $V_1(\lambda)$ that is complimentary to Q_λ, ie: $P_\lambda = (I - Q_\lambda)$.

Proposition 5.6. *Let $u_i(\lambda, x)$ be solutions to the flow on $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that $u_i(\lambda, 0) = \xi(\lambda)$ for each $i = 1, ..., k$, and let $\{\eta_i(\lambda) : i = 1, ..., k \text{ and } \lambda \in \Omega\}$ be a holomorphic basis for $U_1(\lambda)$. Define*

$$\mu_i(\lambda, x) \equiv \begin{cases} (I - xP_\lambda)(u_i(\lambda, x)) & (\lambda, x) \in K \times [0, 1] \\ \\ \xi_i(\lambda) & (\lambda, 0) \in K^\circ \times \{0\} \end{cases}$$

Then $\{\mu_j(\lambda), x\}$ are linearly independent and holomorphic for all $(\lambda, x) \in M \setminus (K^\circ \times \{1\})$. Moreover, $span_{\mathbb{C}}\{\mu_i(\lambda, 1)\} = U_1(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in K$ and we can write

$$\mu_i(\lambda, 1) := \sum_{j=1}^{k} \rho_{i,j}(\lambda)\eta_j(\lambda) \tag{5.8}$$

for holomorphic functions $\{\rho_{i,j}(\lambda)\}$, $\lambda \in K$.

Proof. This proposition follows immediately from the results of Section 4 in Austin and Bridges [3], and we may now use the above construction to describe the boundary bundle over M.

□

Definition 5.7. *Define $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,x} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ to be the k dimensional subspace spanned by $\{\mu_i(\lambda, x) : i = 1, \cdots, k\}$ for $(\lambda, x) \in K \times [0, 1]$. Over $K^\circ \times \{0\}$ define $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,0} = span_{\mathbb{C}}\{U_0(\lambda)\}$. Finally over $K^\circ \times \{1\}$ we define $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,1} = span_{\mathbb{C}}\{U_1(\lambda)\}$. For the fibers defined as above, $E \equiv \{(\lambda, x, \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,x}) : (\lambda, x) \in M\}$ is defined to be the **boundary bundle** with respect to equation (5.1) over M.*

It follows from Proposition 5.6 that E is a holomorphic vector bundle for which we can use $\{\mu_i(\lambda, x)\}_1^k$ and $\{\eta_i(\lambda)\}_1^k$ to construct local trivializations over the upper and lower hemispheres of M, as defined in Section 3. In particular define $\{\eta_i(\lambda, x)\}_1^k$, for $(\lambda, x) \in K \times (0, 1]$, as the solutions to equation (5.1) which converge to $\{\eta_i(\lambda)\}$ at $x = 1$, and suppose we extend the $\{\mu_i(\lambda, 1)\}_1^k$ holomorphically into an open set in K° homotopy equivalent to S^1.

Definition 5.8. *Define the trivializations of the boundary bundle E over open sets in M, by*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_- : \quad H_- \times \mathbb{C}^k &\hookrightarrow \quad H_- \times \mathbb{C}^n \\ (\lambda, x, ze_i) &\mapsto (\lambda, x, z\mu_i(\lambda, x)) \\ \phi_+ : \quad H_+ \times \mathbb{C}^k &\hookrightarrow \quad H_+ \times \mathbb{C}^n \\ (\lambda, x, ze_i) &\mapsto (\lambda, x, z\eta_i(\lambda, x)) \end{aligned}$$

whereby the transition map at $\{1\} \times K$ is defined by the matrix $\hat{\phi}(\lambda, 1, z) := \phi_+^{-1} \circ \phi_-(\lambda, 1, z)$.

Lemma 5.9. *The winding of the determinant of the transition function,*

$$\det \circ \hat{\phi}_{(1,z)}(\lambda) : K \to \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\},$$

equals the multiplicity of eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}$ contained within K°.

Proof. This is the result of Theorem 5.1 in Austin and Bridges [3].

□

5.2. **Adapting the Method.** With the boundary bundle, we are now in the position to utilize Way's method to calculate the multiplicity of eigenvalues contained within K°. By utilizing the determinant bundle, as in Section 4, we may obtain the Chern class of the line bundle defined from the determinant bundle over the boundary bundle $\Lambda^k(E)$. The wedge product of the solutions $\{\mu_i(\lambda, x) : i = 1, ..., k\}$ forms a solution to the associated system on $\Lambda^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ $A^{(k)}$ system where the fibers of the determinant bundle will be defined.

Definition 5.10. *Let $\mathcal{U}(\lambda, x) := \mu_1(\lambda, x) \wedge ... \wedge \mu_k(\lambda, x)$, and denote $\hat{\mathcal{U}}(\lambda, x)$ to be the spherical projection of $\mathcal{U}(\lambda, x)$. Similarly let $\eta(\lambda, x) := \eta_1(\lambda, x) \wedge ... \wedge \eta_k(\lambda, x)$, and $\hat{\eta}(\lambda, x)$ be the normalization of η in the exterior algebra. Then the line bundle over the parameter sphere with fibers defined by the span of $\mathcal{U}(\lambda, x)$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and the span of $\eta(\lambda, x)$ for $x = 1$ is defined to be the* ***determinant bundle of the boundary bundle****.*

Note that $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ may not be holomorphic, but as in previous sections it inherits infinite differentiability in the parameter s, where $\lambda(s) : [0, 1] \hookrightarrow K$. We may thus calculate the geometric phase of the vector $\hat{\mathcal{U}}(\lambda(s), x)$ on the Hopf bundle $S^{(2\binom{n}{k}-1)}$. By the above definition, we may define trivializations of the determinant bundle similarly to the previous sections through $\hat{\mathcal{U}}(\lambda, x)$ over H_- and $\hat{\eta}(\lambda, x)$ over H_+. The Chern class of this vector bundle is equal to the winding of the transition function, given exactly by the winding of $\det \circ \phi_{(1,z)}(\lambda)$.

Theorem 5.11. *For $\mathcal{U}(\lambda, x)$ as defined in Definition 5.10, the geometric phase of $\hat{\mathcal{U}}(\lambda, 1)$ is equal to the multiplicity of the eigenvalues enclosed by the contour K.*

Proof. The calculations of the winding of the transition function and the geometric phase are analogous to the calculations performed in Section 4; there is no difference in calculating the geometric phase and transition function with respect to these trivializations, and the proofs of the lemmas of Sections 3 and 4 will also work for the boundary bundle setting. Thus calculating the geometric phase of the solution $\hat{\mathcal{U}}(\lambda, x)$ at $x = 1$ we may once again ascertain the multiplicity of the eigenvalues contained in K°, proving the method of Way for boundary value problems of the form described in Austin and Bridges [3].

□

Remark 5.12. *In practice it is not necessary to compute the $\mu_i(\lambda, x)$ for any $x \neq 1$; the*

$$\mu_i(\lambda, x) := (I - xP_\lambda)u(\lambda, x)$$

so that the operator $(I - xP_\lambda)$ is always applied to the $u_i(\lambda, x)$ after they are independently evolved. Thus it suffices to find the forward integrated solutions $u_i(\lambda, 1)$ and apply the projection at the opposite endpoint of the boundary. Taking the wedge of the $\mu_i(\lambda, 1)$, we may normalize the corresponding loop in $\Lambda^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and calculate the geometric phase of this normalized loop.

6. A Numerical Example

In this section we present an example exploring Way's numerical method for computing the geometric phase on the Hopf bundle. Our figures suggest future directions for research in stability analysis and the connection between the existence of eigenvalues and the underlying structure of the travelling wave. As alluded to in Remark 3.18, there is a clear dependence on the length of the integration in the ξ direction, where a phase transition occurs between phase equal to zero and the count of the multiplicity of the eigenvalue.

Of interest as well are examples with degenerate construction for the fibers on the caps - that is, when choosing a reference path for $A_{-\infty}(\lambda)$ as initial conditions that cannot be used to define the unstable bundle construction. These examples exhibit similar behaviour to the non-degenerate cases, but here the geometric phase has a dependence on the reference path that does not exist for non-degenerate examples. This is especially interesting, because it suggests Way's method may be valid in a more general form than the one proven in the previous sections.

We return to the bi-stable example, equation (2.17), ie: the reaction diffusion equation with non-linearity $f(u) = u(u-a)(u-1)b$, $0 < a \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and $b \neq 0$. Consider the case when $a = -1$, $c = 0$, then $\xi = x$ and $u(\xi) = \sqrt{2}sech(\xi)$ is a steady state, ie, a time independent solution to the equation $u_t = u_{\xi\xi} + u(u^2 - 1)$. Trivially, 0 is an eigenvalue of multiplicity one for the linear operator $\mathcal{L}$ derived from the linearization about the steady state. About this steady state we derive the system

$$\begin{aligned} Y' &= A(\lambda,\xi)Y \qquad\qquad \xi \in (-\infty,\infty) \\ A &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \lambda + 1 - 6sech^2(\xi) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad A_\infty(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \lambda + 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

which is equivalent to the operator $\mathcal{L}_\xi(p) = p_{\xi\xi} + f'(u(\xi))p$. The eigenvalues/vectors for the asymptotic system are of the form

$$+\sqrt{\lambda+1}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \sqrt{\lambda+1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.2}$$

$$-\sqrt{\lambda+1}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -\sqrt{\lambda+1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.3}$$

Take the contour K to be the circle of radius .1 about the origin. In the figures below we plot the building of the geometric phase versus the integration interval in ξ. By discretizing the contour K into $10,000$ even steps, we compute the geometric phase of the forward integrated loop of eigenvectors in equation 6.2 with two different scalings from $\xi = -11$ to $\xi = 11$; for each point in K, the initial condition is integrated forward in ξ and the equation (2.15) is computed, with the tangent vector approximated with the difference

$$\frac{X(\lambda + \delta\lambda, \xi) - X(\lambda - \delta\lambda, \xi)}{2\delta\lambda}.$$

The geometric phase remains close to zero until it experiences a transition to the multiplicity of the eigenvalue; this representative example highlights the dependence of the phase calculation not on ξ being "close" to ∞ but to reach the threshold of a change of modes.



FIGURE 8. No scaling



FIGURE 9. Pole at zero

In the left figure we take the eigenvectors in equation (6.2) exactly as our initial conditions, but on the right we instead scale our initial condition by the factor $\frac{1}{\lambda}$. Over the contour K, this will **not** be compatible with the construction in Alexander, Gardner, Jones [2] as there is a pole enclosed at 0. Trying to extend this loop of eigenvectors over K° would be tantamount to scaling a fiber by ∞. Interestingly the phase calculation and pattern of transition persists, but the phase plot is translated by the count of the pole. Scaling the eigenvector loop by a factor of λ, this reference path will **not** be compatible with the construction in [2] as there is a zero enclosed by the contour at 0. In this case, not pictured, the pattern is simply translated up by 1, the order of the zero.

7. Discussion

The numerical example exhibits a clear dependence on the length of the forward integration in the ξ direction and it suggests firstly that it is not in general necessary to forward integrate the λ dependent loop of eigenvectors to a value "close to $+\infty$", but rather, past some critical point at which there is a transition between the geometric phase being close to zero and the geometric phase being close to the multiplicity of the eigenvalues enclosed by the spectral path.

In order to understand the building of the phase, consider the general symmetric-asymptotic system as in Section 4, given by

$$Y' = A(\lambda, \tau)Y \qquad \tau \in (-1, 1)$$

$$\lim_{\tau \to \pm 1} A(\lambda, \tau) = A_{\pm\infty}$$

Let Z denote the solution to the corresponding $B^{(k)}$ system such that $Z(\lambda, -1)$ is a loop of eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalue of largest positive real part. Choose $\lambda(s)$ to be some parameterization of the contour K; the choice of a horizontal lift will depend on the choice of the parameterization as follows. For each $\tau \in [-1, 1]$ we will define $\mathcal{Z}(\lambda(s), \tau)$ to be the horizontal lift of the hyperspherical projection $\hat{Z}(\lambda(s), \tau)$. We can use $\mathcal{Z}(\lambda(s), \tau)$ as a trivialization for the unstable bundle, but if and only if it is a loop, and it will be a trivialization for the upper hemisphere compatible with $\hat{Z}(\lambda(s), \tau)$ if and only if the geometric phase equals the Chern class. The projections $\hat{Z}(\lambda(s), \tau)$ always form a loop by the analyticity of the flow which defines them. However, when the phase transitions, $\mathcal{Z}(\lambda(s), \tau)$ cannot be a loop because it experiences non-integer winding. Thus the point at which transition terminates marks where $\hat{Z}(\lambda(s), \tau)$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\lambda(s), \tau)$ are trivializations compatible with eachother for the unstable bundle.

The above discussion and the persistence of the phase calculation for degenerate initial conditions, ie: those not compatible with the unstable bundle construction, suggests that there may be a more natural geometric setting to study the method of the geometric phase. In order to understand the nature of the

phase transition, and to capture the full generality of the method, a study for systems that do not fit the hypotheses of Alexander, Gardner, Jones [2] is warranted. Moreover, a numerical study of the method is in order to compare the possible advantages of formulating the Evans function in this way.

8. Conclusion

In this paper we have adapted and verified the method of Way [16] for determining the multiplicity of eigenvalues for asymptotically autonomous and boundary value problems. Building from the groundwork laid by Way [16] we proved the relationship between the geometric phase and eigenvalues, and validated Way's numerical method for dynamical systems defined on $\mathbb{C}^2$. Furthermore, we established the precise relationship for higher dimensional systems using using the technique of the determinant bundle as in Alexander, Gardner, Jones [2], generalizing the method for systems of arbitrary dimension. Building on the work of Gardner and Jones [11] and Austin and Bridges [3], we adapted Way's method to fit λ dependent boundary value problems. In addition, we have presented a numerical example that opens up new questions for the study of Way's method.

9. Acknowledgements

Many thanks go to Rupert Way and Tom Bridges for opening this line of research with *Dynamics in the Hopf bundle, the geometric phase and implications for dynamical systems*,[16] and for their advice and discussions on their work. This work benefited from the support of NSF SAVI award DMS-0940363, MURI award A100752 and GCIS award DMS-1312906.

References

[1] Andrei L. Afendikov and Thomas J. Bridges. Instability of the hocking-stewartson pulse and its implications for three-dimensional poiseuille flow. *Proceedings: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 457(2006):257 – 272, 2001.

[2] J. Alexander, R. Gardner, and C. Jones. A topological invariant arising in the stability analysis of traveling waves. *Journal fur die Rein und Angewandte Mathematik*, 410:167–212, 1990.

[3] Francis R. Austin and Thomas J. Bridges. A bundle view of boundary-value problems: generalizing the Gardner-Jones bundle. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 189:412–439, 2003.

[4] PeterW. Bates and ChristopherK.R.T. Jones. Invariant manifolds for semilinear partial differential equations. In Urs Kirchgraber and HansOtto Walther, editors, *Dynamics Reported*, volume 2 of *Dynamics Reported*, pages 1–38. Vieweg+Teubner Verlag, 1989.

[5] Thomas J. Bridges. The orr-sommerfeld equation on a manifold. *Proceedings: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 455(1988):319 – 340, 1999.

[6] D. Chruscinski and A. Jamiolkowski. *Geometric Phases in Classical and Quantum Mechanics*. Progress in Mathematical Physics. Birkhäuser Boston, 2012.

[7] JW Evans. Nerve axon equations i. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, 21:877–885, 1972.

[8] JW Evans. Nerve axon equations ii. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, 22:75–90, 1972.

[9] JW Evans. Nerve axon equations iii. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, 22:577–593, 1972.

[10] JW Evans. Nerve axon equations iv. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, 24:1169–1190, 1975.

[11] R. Gardner and C. K. R. T Jones. A stability index for steady state solutions of boundary value problems for parabolic systems. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 91:181–203, 1991.

[12] Jeffrey Humpherys, Bjrn Sandstede, and Kevin Zumbrun. Efficient computation of analytic bases in evans function analysis of large systems. *Numerische Mathematik*, 103(4):631–642, 2006.

[13] T. Kapitula and K. Promislow. *Spectral and Dynamical Stability of Nonlinear Waves*. Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer, 2013.

[14] Shoshichi Kobayashi. *Foundations of Differential Geometry*. Wiley, New York, 1996.

[15] Gerard Walschap. *Metric Structures in Diffrential Geometry*. Springer, New York, 2004.

[16] R. Way. *Dynamics in the Hopf bundle, the geometric phase and implications for dynamical systems*. PhD thesis, University of Surrey, 2009.